



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,054	09/05/2000	John L. Shannon JR.	122.1.1/USA	7269

7590                    06/26/2002

James W Miller  
Attorney at Law  
Suite 1005 Foshay Tower  
821 Marquette Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

HUNTER, ALVIN A

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/655,054	SHANNON, JOHN L.
	Examiner Alvin A. Hunter	Art Unit 3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- 4) Claim(s) 6-8, 10-14 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6-8, 10-14 and 20-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                           | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.<br>2. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Comments***

After further searching and reconsideration, the examiner has found additional art that is pertinent to the present application. The delays in prosecution are regretted. The stance taken by the examiner is as follows:

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8, 10-14, 20, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheney (USPN 4976432) in view of Zody (USPN 5352057).

Cheney discloses a sectional and height adjustable singles stick, in which sections are combined in order to achieve the desired height for holding up a tennis net (See Abstract). The sections are connected by inserting the dowel end of a section into the hollow bottom end of another section (See Column 7, lines 50 through 68; and Column 8, lines 1 through 37). Cheney also suggests that the dowels (22) may be constructed of any suitable material, shape, and size, which would imply that having a non circular shape would accomplish that of the circular shape (See Column 7, lines 33 through 67). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to have any shaped dowels, such as non-circular, for facilitating the connection of the dowels due to the applicant not providing any advantages,

purpose, or unexpected results for making the invention non-circular. Cheney also discloses that the device may be stored within a player's equipment tote bag (See Column 2, lines 35 through 41). Cheney does not disclose a locking pin for holding the present invention in place. Zody discloses that biased detent locking mechanisms are commonly known within the art for supporting adjustable, telescoping members in a desired position (See Background of the Invention). As seen in Figure 5 of Zody, the locking mechanism (16), which is primarily connected to the inner telescoping member (12), is spring biased to where the lock automatically sets into next desired position (Also See Column 67 through 65). Comparing the present invention with the combination of Cheney and Zody, it would appear that making the singles stick telescoping is nothing more an invention comprising technology commonly known within the art; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention of Cheney telescoping as a matter of design choice in order to facilitate adjustment and storage. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a biased or manually removable locking mechanism as a matter of design choice in order to support a structure in a desired position.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-8, 10-14, and 20-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Paul T. Sewell  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3700